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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Tuberous sclerosis complex is a multisystem genetic disorder with a range of physical manifestations that require evaluation, surveillance, and management. Individuals with tuberous sclerosis complex also have a range of behavioral, psychiatric, intellectual, academic, neuropsychologic, and psychosocial difficulties. These may represent the greatest burden of the disease. Around 90% of individuals with tuberous sclerosis complex will have some of these difficulties during their lifetime, yet only about 20% ever receive evaluation and treatment. The Neuropsychiatry Panel at the 2012 Tuberous Sclerosis Complex International Consensus Conference expressed concern about the significant “treatment gap” and about confusion regarding terminology relating to the biopsychosocial difficulties associated with tuberous sclerosis complex. METHODS: The Tuberous Sclerosis Complex Neuropsychiatry Panel coined the term TAND—tuberous sclerosis complex-associated neuropsychiatric disorders—to bring together these multidimensional manifestations of the disorder, and recommended annual screening for TAND. In addition, the Panel agreed to develop a TAND Checklist as a guide for screening. RESULTS: Here, we present an outline of the conceptualization of TAND, rationale for the structure of the TAND Checklist, and include the full US English version of the TAND Checklist. CONCLUSION: We hope that the unified term TAND and the TAND Checklist will raise awareness of the importance of tuberous sclerosis complex-associated neuropsychiatric disorders and of the major burden of disease associated with it, provide a shared language and a simple tool to describe and evaluate the different levels of TAND, alert clinical teams and families or individuals of the importance of screening, assessment, and treatment of TAND, and provide a shared framework for future studies of tuberous sclerosis complex-associated neuropsychiatric disorders.
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Introduction

Tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) is a multisystem disorder associated with multiorgan involvement, including the brain, kidneys, heart, eyes, and lung. The disorder has a birth incidence of approximately 1:6000 and is seen at similar prevalence rates around the globe. In approximately 85% of cases, a mutation is identified in the TSC1 (chromosome 9q34) or TSC2 (chromosome 16p13.3) genes. The TSC1-TSC2 protein complex acts as an upstream regulator of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), which is involved in the fundamental pathophysiological mechanism of the disorder. Disruption of this regulatory role leads to mTOR over-activation and to dysregulated growth control, thus explaining the fundamental pathophysiological mechanism of the disorder. In recent years, molecally targeted treatments using mTOR inhibitors have been introduced for some of the specific organ systems involved, such as subependymal giant cell astrocytomas of the brain and angio-myolipomas of the kidney.

Given the significant progress in understanding the pathophysiology of TSC over the last 2 decades, the International Consensus Conference was convened in 2012 to revise the diagnostic criteria and to refine the assessment, surveillance, and treatment guidelines for TSC. Revised diagnostic and surveillance guidelines were published in 2013.

Apart from the range of physical manifestations of TSC, individuals with the disorder may also be affected by a wide array of behavioral, psychiatric, intellectual, academic, neurocognitive, and psychosocial difficulties. In both clinical practice and scientific publications, these multiple levels of difficulties have been referred to by many different terms, including “neurocognitive issues,” “neurobehavioral difficulties,” “learning issues,” “mental health issues,” “neuropsychiatric disorders,” “cognitive and behavioral difficulties,” and so on. Most individuals who live with TSC will experience some of these difficulties in their lifetime. Similar to the physical manifestations of TSC, there is also growing evidence that specific elements of neuropsychiatric disorders in TSC may be directly attributable to dysregulation of mTOR signaling and that mTOR inhibitors might therefore also become molecularly targeted treatments for some of these aspects of TSC.

In 2003 an international consensus panel was convened to develop guidelines for the assessment of “cognitive and behavioral problems” in individuals with TSC. The recommendations were published in 2005. The panel made two main recommendations. The first was to perform regular assessment of cognitive development and behavior in all children and adolescents with TSC to establish a baseline for evaluating changes in developmental trajectories and to identify and treat emerging difficulties. The second was to perform a comprehensive assessment (particularly a comprehensive physical evaluation) in response to sudden or unexpected changes in cognitive development or behavior to identify and treat the underlying cause of neurobehavioral change.

In a survey of members of the UK Tuberous Sclerosis Association 5 years after the publication of these guidelines, only 18% of all families had ever received any of the evaluations or treatments recommended in the 2005 guidelines. Given that more than 90% of all individuals with TSC are likely to have some of these challenges, the “treatment gap” (the difference between clinical need and services provided) was therefore in excess of 70%. This finding is in keeping with global findings of treatment gaps in mental health where it is not uncommon for 70%-80% of individuals who have mental disorders not to receive any treatment.

Given the multidimensional nature of these difficulties associated with TSC across multiple levels, the clinical and scientific confusion about different terminologies used, and the significant treatment gaps identified, the Neuropsychiatry Panel at the 2012 International Consensus Conference were keen to identify a strategy that would increase awareness of the need to screen for these difficulties, simplify and clarify the terminology used around behavioral, psychiatric, intellectual, academic, neuropsychological, and psychosocial aspects of TSC, and develop a simple tool to facilitate clinical teams and families to screen for these challenges to identify areas that require more in-depth evaluation or treatment.

The Neuropsychiatry Panel commented that the “treatment gaps” observed in TSC were similar to those observed in the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) community, where there used to be an overemphasis on physical treatment of HIV-positive individuals without consideration of the major neurocognitive and neuropsychiatric features of HIV. The HIV community introduced the concept of HAND (HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders) as a strategy to raise awareness of such concerns. Inspired by the HIV example, the TSC Neuropsychiatry Panel therefore decided to coin the term TAND (TSC-associated neuropsychiatric disorders) and recommended that all individuals with TSC should be screened for TAND at least once per year. To facilitate the process, a TAND Checklist was developed. Pilot validation of the TAND Checklist was performed and is presented elsewhere.

Here, we outline the conceptualization of the multidimensional nature of TAND and present a TAND Checklist for clinical use.

The multiple dimensions of TAND

Infants, children, adolescents, and adults with TSC may present with a varied and variable range of challenges across multiple “levels or dimensions.”

Behavioral level

This level refers to any observed behaviors that may cause concern to the individual with TSC, their parents, caregiver, or professionals. High frequency behavioral presentations in TSC include anxiety, depressed mood, aggressive behaviors, temper tantrums, attention-related behaviors (such as difficulty concentrating, hyperactivity, impulsivity), social, and communication-related behaviors (such as speech and language delays, poor eye contact, difficulties in relationships with peers, repetitive behaviors), self-injurious behaviors, and eating or sleep difficulties.

These behavioral concerns are typically identified through self-report, parental or caregiver report, or are observed by teachers or other professionals who work with...
an individual with TSC. A range of rating scales can be used to quantify the behavioral level. However, rating scales typically have limited age ranges and tend not to be used across the ability spectrum (i.e., in both those with and those without intellectual disability). These rating scales are sometimes used to identify people “at risk” for diagnosable psychiatric disorders. On their own, behavioral concerns do not constitute psychiatric disorders, given that many factors need to be considered to determine the appropriateness or inappropriateness and reasons for such concerns. For instance, a 2 year old with temper tantrums would be considered to have developmentally appropriate behaviors that require basic parenting management; in contrast, a 15 year old who still displays significant temper tantrums may require additional evaluation to understand the reasons and triggers for such behavior.

The behavioral level often represents the “reasons for referral” for a next-step evaluation by a primary care or specialist team.

**Psychiatric level**

At this level, behaviors of concern are examined and evaluated in the context of the individual’s overall developmental level and in terms of their biological, psychological, and social profile. This is often referred to as a biopsychosocial formulation. Where an individual has sufficient behavioral features of specified intensity and duration that cause distress or impairment to the individual, they may meet criteria for a psychiatric disorder, as defined by diagnostic systems such as the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders, fifth edition (DSM-5), or the International Classification of Diseases, tenth edition.

The most common psychiatric disorders observed in association with TSC include neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism spectrum disorders (25%-50%) and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD, 30%-50%), as well as depressive and anxiety disorders (30%-60%). Some of the clear advantages of identifying and diagnosing psychiatric disorders include the ability to provide appropriate psycho-education and treatment and to support families to find the appropriate educational environment for a child who might have a neurodevelopmental disorder.

**Academic level**

At this level, we describe the specific learning disorders associated with school performance, such as reading, writing, mathematics, and spelling. About 30% of school-aged children with TSC who have entirely normal intellectual ability, present with specific academic difficulties that require evaluation and support. Many children with TSC, particularly those with above-average and superior intellectual abilities, are often not considered for an individual education plan (or equivalent program of educational support) even if they have specific academic difficulties. Given the apparent intellectual ability of the child (at the intellectual level), children are often interpreted as being “lazy,” “unwilling,” or “stubborn” in a school setting, and educational systems do not consider and look for specific learning disorders. For these reasons, the academic level needs to be considered as a distinct level of enquiry.

**Neuropsychological level**

Neuropsychological evaluations are used to describe the strengths and weaknesses of brain referenced systems used for learning, thinking, and behavior regulation. These include executive skills (such as planning, working memory, perspective taking), attentional skills (such as selective attention, sustained attention, dual tasking), language skills (including receptive and expressive language, grammatical and pragmatic use of language), memory skills (such as recognition and recall), and visuospatial skills (such as spatial navigation, drawing, constructional skills). These investigations are typically performed by clinical psychologists or neuropsychologists with relevant training in formal evaluation and interpretation, using a wide range of standardized measurement tools.

The neuropsychological level has a clear correlation with many behavioral concerns, with psychiatric disorders and with intellectual or academic ability. However, individuals with TSC may also have very specific neuropsychological deficits (typically defined as performance less than...
the fifth percentile on a measure). For instance, specific deficits in working memory, cognitive flexibility, or dual tasking may occur and might correlate with behavioral challenges in real life.

Psychosocial level

At this level we consider important determinants of quality of life, such as self-esteem, family functioning, parental stress, and relationship difficulties. All these are markers of resilience and burden of care, and all the psychosocial factors may be amenable to intervention and support. There are very high rates of psychosocial difficulties in TSC. However, it is rare for families and individuals who live with TSC to be asked by clinical teams about their psychosocial functioning despite the core importance of this level.

The concept of TAND

TAND aims to bring together under a single term the multiple levels of involvement that relate to the neurobiological, psychological, and social aspects of TSC. The term was coined to generate a unifying rubric to be used as a “short-hand” to capture all the possible functional manifestations, complications, and consequences of TSC that relate to behavior, mental health or psychiatric disorders, neurodevelopment, intellectual, academic, neuropsychologic, and psychosocial abilities.

The term is not, however, meant to imply that all the levels are similar. In fact, TAND represents an umbrella term under which each of the possible levels of involvement should be considered, classified, evaluated, and treated. The hope is that use of the term TAND will immediately indicate to the user and listener the overall “field” of interest and that the levels or “domains” under the umbrella term can then be discussed with an improved, shared language.

Given the variability of TAND manifestations, it seems each individual with TSC may have their own unique TAND profile that will require a personalized evaluation and management plan. This TAND profile may of course also change over time, thus supporting the need for re-evaluation on a regular basis. A small proportion of individuals with TSC may never have TAND problems. However, it is also important to remember that TAND may arise later in life after many years of apparently “normal” functioning.

The TAND Checklist

Given the attempt of the Neuropsychiatry Panel to unify terminology and delineate a shared language to describe the multiple dimensions of TAND, we agreed to develop a short, freely accessible TAND Checklist to aid health-care professionals and families in screening for TAND.

The purpose of the TAND Checklist is to act as a memory aid or a basic structure to guide a conversation between the clinician and family or individual with TSC. The conversation that flows from the TAND Checklist should give a sufficient structure to the team to generate a priority list and action plan for next steps. Some of these actions may include basic psycho-education and provision of information; others may require referral for specialist evaluation or treatment.

The TAND Checklist is not a questionnaire or rating scale where a set “threshold” needs to be crossed to indicate clinical need or a likely clinical diagnosis. Any of the items on the TAND Checklist may be sufficient to lead to an action plan agreed between clinical team and family or individual with TSC. For instance, a conversation using the TAND Checklist that identifies a child to have significant and persistent sleep difficulties should be sufficient to lead to further evaluation to identify the underlying causes of these difficulties. Where use of the TAND Checklist identifies a child as having specific academic difficulties in reading or mathematics but where an individual educational plan has not been considered, this should lead to conversations with the appropriate educational authorities.

The overarching aim of the TAND Checklist is therefore to provide a simple framework for a conversation about TAND. The hope is that the TAND Checklist will provide a systematic approach to a potentially bewildering array of difficulties, provide a shared language to talk with families about and troubleshoot needs and next steps, and facilitate next-step evaluation or treatment for TAND.

The structure of the TAND Checklist

The overall structure of the TAND Checklist is outlined in the Table. Conceptually, the 12 items (referred to as questions) follow the levels of investigation outlined previously and require simple YES or NO responses to most questions.

The introductory items (questions 1 and 2) aim to get a general sense of developmental milestones and of the current level of functioning of the individual about whom the conversation is taking place. These items were placed first to ensure that the interviewer has a sense of the functional abilities of the individual before they start talking about the behavioral items. For instance, it is helpful to know if someone has no expressive language (question 1d, 1e, 2a) before asking about repeating words and phrases over and over (question 3i).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Level of Investigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 1</td>
<td>Basic developmental milestones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 2</td>
<td>Current level of functioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 3</td>
<td>Behavioral concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 4</td>
<td>Psychiatric disorders diagnosed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 5</td>
<td>Intellectual ability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 6</td>
<td>Academic skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 7</td>
<td>Neuropsychological skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 8</td>
<td>Psychosocial functioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 9</td>
<td>Parent, caregiver, or self-rating of the impact of TAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 10</td>
<td>Prioritizing list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11</td>
<td>Additional concerns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 12</td>
<td>Health-care professional rating of the impact of TAND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation:
TAND – Tuberous sclerosis complex-associated neuropsychiatric disorders
The behavioral items section (question 3) lists the high frequency behaviors of concern in TSC. The psychiatric diagnoses that are most commonly seen in association with TSC are listed next (question 4). These include autism spectrum disorder, ADHD, anxiety disorders, and depressive disorders. It is not clear from the TSC literature how common obsessive compulsive disorders (OCDs) are in TSC. In clinical practice, many children with TSC referred for possible OCD meet criteria for autism spectrum disorders rather than OCD. For this reason, the item was included. Psychotic disorders do not appear to be over-represented in TSC and most psychotic phenomena seen in a clinical setting are more likely to be associated with seizure disorders, particularly temporal lobe discharges. For this reason, psychotic disorders were listed.

Intellectual disability (question 5) is explored in terms of previous formal assessments and in terms of parental, caregiver or self-perception of intellectual ability. The reason for this was two-fold. First, many people with TSC never receive formal assessments of IQ. Second, there may be a discrepancy between “measured” intelligence and “perceived” intelligence. It is important to consider any discrepancies between these two. It is also very common for individuals with TSC to have a very uneven profile of intellectual strengths and weaknesses, with some having stronger verbal than perceptual skills (or vice versa) and others having a very different profile.

The item on academic abilities emphasizes the standard scholastic skills or learning disorders associated with reading, writing, mathematics, or spelling but should be an opportunity to ask about any school-based or school-related difficulties (question 6). The emphasis in item 7 on neuropsychologic skills is on those neuropsychologic deficits most commonly reported in association with TSC to date, including deficits in memory recall, attentional skills, dual tasking, visuospatial, and executive skills.

Item 8 focuses on core aspects of psychosocial functioning including self-esteem, parental stress, and family relationships. This item may also provide an opportunity to ask about other psychosocial aspects, as required.

Items 9 and 12 are short measures of impact. In item 9, the respondent is asked for their view of the overall impact of TAND; in item 12, the health-care professional documents their judgment of the overall impact of TAND. Discrepancies between parent or family and health-care professional ratings of impact should lead to a reflection on the possible reasons for such a difference. The difference may relate to over- or under-reporting on the part of the family or individual, and could provide some indication of family resilience in cases where the impact is rated as relatively low, in spite of significant TAND challenges.

Items 10 and 11 were included for clinical purposes to help clinician and family to prioritize areas for next steps and to provide families or individuals with the opportunity to identify concerns that may not have been listed in the TAND Checklist.

The full TAND Checklist is presented in the Figure and is available as a supplement for download (Supplementary data).

Pilot validation of the TAND Checklist

The pilot validation of the TAND Checklist, using a mixed-method approach, is presented in detail elsewhere. In phase I of the pilot validation, expert professionals (n = 20) and expert parents or caregivers (n = 42) from 28 countries were asked to comment on the clarity, comprehensiveness, ease of use, and likely use of the TAND Checklist. Results suggested that the TAND Checklist was clear, comprehensive, and easy to use. Participants generally felt that clinical teams would use it, but that families and caregivers may need to drive use. Feedback from participants led to refinements of the TAND Checklist. In Phase II of the pilot validation, the TAND Checklist was administered to 20 families in Cape Town, South Africa, who were also asked to complete four widely used and validated rating scale measures of general mental health symptoms (the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire), autism-related behaviors (the Social-Communication Questionnaire), disability (the Wessex Rating Scale), and executive functions (the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Functions). The TAND Checklist showed very good-to-excellent internal consistency, and strong correlations with external validation tools, thus suggesting good external validity. Families also rated the TAND Checklist as clear, understandable, comprehensive, and easy to use. Overall pilot validation suggested that the TAND Checklist would provide a useful screening tool in clinical settings.

A very striking observation from the pilot validation data was that 100% of participants had one or more lifetime reported TAND behavioral difficulties, 97% had two or more difficulties, 93% had four or more difficulties, and 89% had six or more behavioral difficulties.

How does the TAND Checklist fit into the 2012 International TSC consensus recommendations for the assessment and management of TAND?

As outlined elsewhere, the Neuropsychiatry Panel recommended screening for TAND at least annually. We suggest that the TAND Checklist might be a useful guide to perform this task. Any areas of concern identified should lead to appropriate next-step evaluations or treatment.

In addition, we also recommended that comprehensive formal assessments for TAND should be performed at key developmental time points. These include infancy (age 0-3), pre-school years (age 3-6), primary school years (age 6-9), adolescence (age 12-16), early adulthood (age 18-25), and as required thereafter. Management strategies should be based on the TAND profile of each patient and should be based on evidence-based good practice guidelines or practice parameters for individual disorders (e.g., autism spectrum disorder, ADHD, anxiety disorder). Health-care professionals and educational teams should always consider the need for an individual educational plan. As recommended in the 2005 guidelines, sudden change in behavior should always prompt medical or clinical evaluation to identify any potential treatable medical causes (e.g., SEGAs, seizures, renal disease).
THE TAND CHECKLIST

Lifetime version (TAND-L)

Tuberous Sclerosis Complex (TSC) is associated with a range of neuropsychiatric disorders which we refer to as TAND (TSC–Associated–Neuropsychiatric–Disorders). All people with TSC are at risk of having some of these difficulties. Some people with TSC have very few, while others will have many of them. Each person with TSC will therefore have their own TAND profile, and this profile may change over time. This checklist was developed to help clinical teams, individuals with TSC and their families a) screen for TAND at every clinic visit and b) prioritize what to do next.

Instructions for use

The TAND Checklist was designed to be completed by a clinician with relevant knowledge and experience in TSC, in partnership with individuals with TSC or their parents/carers. The Checklist should take about 10 minutes to complete. Where individuals answer YES to an item, the clinician should explore the difficulty in sufficient detail to help guide decisions about further evaluation or treatment. All items should be completed.

About the interview

Name of TSC Subject: _______________________________ DOB: [ ]/ [/]/[ ]/YY Age: [ ]

Name of Interviewer: _______________________________ Date of interview: [ ]/ [/]/[ ]/YY

Name of interviewee: _______________________________ Self / Parent / Carer / Other (circle)

Let’s begin

As you will know, the majority of people with TSC have some difficulty in learning, behavior, mental health, specific aspects of their development and so on. We are going to use this checklist to help us check for these kinds of difficulties. I am going to ask you a number of questions. Some may be directly relevant; some might not be relevant at all. Just answer as best as you can. At the end I will check to see if there are any additional difficulties we didn’t talk about.

For parents/carers of individuals with TSC, please start with question 1. For individuals with TSC who complete this about themselves, please start with question 3.

01 Let’s begin by talking about [subject]’s development to get a sense of where they are at. How old was [subject] when he/she:

- a. First smiled? Age: [ ] Not yet: [ ]
- b. Sat without support? Age: [ ] Not yet: [ ]
- c. Walked without holding on? Age: [ ] Not yet: [ ]
- d. Used single words other than “mama” or “dada”? Age: [ ] Not yet: [ ]
- e. Used two words/short phrases? Age: [ ] Not yet: [ ]
- f. Was toilet trained during the day? Age: [ ] Not yet: [ ]
- g. Was toilet trained at night? Age: [ ] Not yet: [ ]
02 What is [subject]'s current level of *(please tick)*:

a. Language: ☐ non-verbal ☐ simple language ☐ fluent
b. Self-care: ☐ dependent on others ☐ some self-care skills ☐ independent
c. Mobility: ☐ wheelchair ☐ needs significant support ☐ some difficulty ☐ completely mobile

03 Let's talk about behaviors causing concern to you or to other people.
Have/has [subject] ever had difficulty with any of the following?

a. Anxiety NO ☐ YES ☐
b. Depressed mood NO ☐ YES ☐
c. Extreme shyness NO ☐ YES ☐
d. Mood swings NO ☐ YES ☐
e. Aggressive outbursts NO ☐ YES ☐
f. Temper Tantrums NO ☐ YES ☐
g. Self-injury, such as hitting self, biting self, scratching self NO ☐ YES ☐
h. Absent or delayed onset of language to communicate NO ☐ YES ☐
i. Repeating words or phrases over and over again NO ☐ YES ☐
j. Poor eye contact NO ☐ YES ☐
k. Difficulties getting on with other people of similar age NO ☐ YES ☐
l. Repetitive behaviors, *such as doing the same thing over and over again* NO ☐ YES ☐
m. Very rigid or inflexible about how to do things or not liking change in routines NO ☐ YES ☐
n. Overactivity/hyperactivity, *such as being constantly on the go* NO ☐ YES ☐
o. Difficulty paying attention or concentrating NO ☐ YES ☐
p. Restlessness or fidgetiness, *such as wriggling or squirming* NO ☐ YES ☐
q. Impulsivity, *such as butting in, not waiting turn* NO ☐ YES ☐
r. Difficulties with eating, *such as eating too much, too little, unusual things* NO ☐ YES ☐
s. Sleep difficulties, *such as with falling asleep or waking* NO ☐ YES ☐

If you answered YES to any of the above:
Have you had further evaluation or support for it? NO ☐ YES ☐
Would you like to have further evaluation or support for it? NO ☐ YES ☐

04 Problem behaviors may add up to meet criteria for specific psychiatric disorders.
Have/has [subject] ever received a diagnosis of:

a. Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), including autism, Asperger’s NO ☐ YES ☐
b. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) NO ☐ YES ☐
c. Anxiety Disorder, including as panic, phobia, separation anxiety disorder NO ☐ YES ☐
d. Depressive Disorder NO ☐ YES ☐
e. Obsessive Compulsive Disorder NO ☐ YES ☐
f. Psychotic Disorder, including schizophrenia NO ☐ YES ☐

If you answered YES to any of the above
Have you had further evaluation or support for it? NO ☐ YES ☐
Would you like to have further evaluation or support for it? NO ☐ YES ☐

FIGURE.
(continued)
About half of people with TSC will have significant difficulties in their overall intellectual development and may have ‘intellectual disability’.

a. Have you ever been concerned about this for [subject]?
   NO □ YES □

b. Have/has [subject] ever had a formal evaluation of intelligence by a professional using IQ tests?
   NO □ YES □
   If YES, what did results show?
   Normal Intellectual Ability (IQ > 80) □
   Borderline Intellectual Ability (IQ 70-80) □
   Mild Intellectual Disability (IQ 50-69) □
   Moderate Intellectual Disability (IQ 35-49) □
   Severe Intellectual Disability (IQ 21-34) □
   Profound Intellectual Disability (IQ <20) □

c. What is your view of [subject]’s intellectual ability?
   Normal Intellectual Ability □
   Mild–Moderate Intellectual Disability □
   Severe – Profound Intellectual Disability □

d. Would you like to have further evaluation or support for it?
   NO □ YES □

Many people with TSC who are of school age will have difficulty in school.
[For individuals of school age]: Does/do [subject] have any difficulty with any of the following:
[For individuals after school age]: Did [subject] have any difficulty with any of the following:

a. Reading
   N/A □ NO □ YES □
b. Writing
   N/A □ NO □ YES □
c. Spelling
   N/A □ NO □ YES □
d. Mathematics
   N/A □ NO □ YES □

If you answered YES to any of the above
Have/has [subject] had further evaluation or support for it?
   NO □ YES □
Have/has [subject] been considered for any additional support in school such as extra help or an Individual Educational Plan (IEP)?
   NO □ YES □
Would you like to have further evaluation or support for [subject]?
   NO □ YES □

The majority of people with TSC will have some difficulties in some specific brain skills. Do/does [subject] have difficulty with any of the following:

a. Memory, such as remembering things that have happened
   NO □ YES □
b. Attention, such as concentrating well, not getting distracted
   NO □ YES □
c. Dual-tasking/ Multi-tasking, such as doing 2 tasks at the same time
   NO □ YES □
d. Visuo-spatial tasks, such as solving puzzles or using building blocks
   NO □ YES □
e. Executive skills, such as planning, organizing, flexible thinking
   NO □ YES □
f. Getting disoriented, such as not knowing the date or where you are
   NO □ YES □

If you answered YES to any of the above
Have/has [subject] had further evaluation or support for it?
   NO □ YES □
Would you like to have further evaluation or support for these difficulties?
   NO □ YES □
Apart from the challenges listed above, TSC can have a big impact on people’s lives in other ways. Have/has [subject] had any difficulties with:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a. Low self-esteem</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b. Very high levels of stress in families, for instance between siblings</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Very high levels of stress between parents leading to significant relationship difficulties</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you answered YES to any of the above

Have/has [subject] and/or your family had further evaluation or support for it?

| NO | YES |

Would you like to have further evaluation or support for it?

| NO | YES |

Taking together all the difficulties discussed above, how much have these bothered, troubled or distressed you/your child/family?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Of all the concerns listed above, what are your top priorities to work on next?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you have any other worries about TAND for [subject] that we have not talked about as we went through the checklist?

| NO | YES |

If YES, please list:

| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Interviewer’s judgment of impact/burden on the individual/child/family.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The TAND Checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International Licence (http://creativecommons.org/). The TAND Checklist may be copied, reproduced and used in paper format for non-commercial purposes provided that the original work is properly credited and that no changes are made to the checklist. For any enquiries regarding use of the TAND Checklist, please contact Prof Petrus de Vries (petrus.devries@uct.ac.za).
Next steps with the TAND Checklist

The TAND Checklist is provided here in English. Next steps will include working with local organizations in various countries to prepare translations of the TAND Checklist. Translations will be done using a standardized procedure including translation, blind back-translation, and authorization by the authors of the TAND Checklist. The TAND Checklist was developed to be freely available to increase the likelihood of its uptake in real-life settings.

Further studies using the current version of the TAND Checklist will be able to establish other aspects of the psychometric properties of the tool and can use the TAND Checklist as an instrument to document TAND behaviors in a simple, but systematic and consistent way.

Conclusion

Here the Neuropsychiatry Panel of the 2012 International Consensus Conference for TSC presented the rationale for and conceptualization of a new term, TAND. The overall purpose of this new term was to define a unifying construct to describe the multidimensional biopsychosocial manifestations seen in TSC. We hope that this unified term will raise awareness of the importance of TAND and of the major burden of disease associated with it, provide a shared language to describe and evaluate the different levels of TAND, alert clinical teams, families and individuals of the importance of screening, assessment, and treatment of TAND, and provide a shared framework for future studies of TAND.

The TAND Checklist was developed to provide a simple, easy-to-use, and relatively quick aide memoire for clinical teams and families. In essence, it was developed to be a framework for a conversation between health-care professionals and families about this important but under-investigated yet fundamental domain.
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